

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/057,406

Applicant(s)

Werenicz et al

Examiner

Jeff H. Aftergut

Group Art Unit

1733

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut

(3) _____

(2) Carolyn Fischer

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 8, 2000Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:Display of the viscosities of Hytrel. Copy of 4,741,949.Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

all

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the relationship between the complex viscosities a high and low shear and the overall operation (the claimed ranges are between film grade polymers and extremely low viscosities which were known not to form films). Additionally discussed how the thickness of the films were not obtainable by Boger with the specified device. Noted that such was a function of the hot melt adhesives used in the operation of Boger. Advised applicant's rep that additional search will be performed relating to the composition. Briefly discussed U.S. Patent '949 and how it related to the Hytrel composition.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Jeff H. Aftergut
JEFF H. AFTERGUT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1733